Solutions to the WIKR-06 exam of 20 June 2019

June 19, 2019

1a

$$p_{X,Y}(x,y) = \mathbb{P}(X=x, Y=y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu^x e^{-\mu}}{x!} \cdot {\binom{x}{y}} p^y (1-p)^{x-y} & x, y \text{ integers with } 0 \le y \le x\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1b

For $y \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y=y) &= \sum_{x} \mathbb{P}(X=x, Y=y) = \sum_{x \ge y} \frac{\mu^{x} e^{-\mu}}{x!} \cdot \binom{x}{y} p^{y} (1-p)^{x-y} = \frac{(\mu p)^{y}}{y!} e^{-\mu} \sum_{x \ge y} \frac{(\mu (1-p))^{x-y}}{(x-y)!} \\ &= \frac{(\mu p)^{y}}{y!} e^{-\mu} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu (1-p))^{\ell}}{\ell!} = \frac{(\mu p)^{y}}{y!} e^{-\mu} e^{+\mu (1-p)} = \frac{(\mu p)^{y}}{y!} e^{-\mu p}. \end{split}$$

We recognize this as the pmf of the $Poi(\mu p)$.

1c

We first need to find $\mathbb{E}XY$.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}XY &= \sum_{x,y} xy \mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = y) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y=0}^{x} xy \frac{\mu^{x} e^{-\mu}}{x!} \binom{x}{y} p^{y} (1-p)^{x-y} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \frac{\mu^{x} e^{-\mu}}{x!} \sum_{y=0}^{x} y \binom{x}{y} p^{y} (1-p)^{x-y} = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x^{2} p \frac{\mu^{x} e^{-\mu}}{x!} \\ &= p \mathbb{E}X^{2}, \end{split}$$

recognizing the sum over y as the expectation of a Bin(x, p). Since X is Poisson, we know from lectures (it would also be fine if you worked this out in the exam of course) that $\mathbb{E}X = \mu^2 + \mu$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}XY = p(\mu^2 + \mu).$$

On the other hand $\mathbb{E}X = \mu$, $\mathbb{E}Y = \mu p$, $\operatorname{Var} X = \mu$, $\operatorname{Var} Y = \mu p$ (using 1b and our knowledge of the Poisson distribution from lectures – or of course working out the expectation and variance of a Poisson from scratch). So

$$\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{\mathbb{E}XY - \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}Y}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var} X \operatorname{Var} Y}} = \frac{\mu^2 p + \mu p - \mu^2 p}{\sqrt{\mu^2 p}} = \sqrt{p}.$$

2a

(This pdf was used as an example in lectures – so this ought to have been an easy question for everyone.)

X, Y are **not** independent. For instance $\mathbb{P}(X > 1), \mathbb{P}(Y < 1)$ are both clearly positive, while $\mathbb{P}(X > 1, Y < 1) = 0 \neq \mathbb{P}(X > 1)\mathbb{P}(Y < 1).$

2b

We must have

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = c \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-y} \, dy \, dx = c \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \, dx = c.$$

2c

For x > 0 we have:

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy = \int_x^{\infty} e^{-y} \, dy = e^{-x}.$$

(If $x \leq 0$ then $f_X(x) = 0$.)

2d

For $y \leq 0$, $f_Y(y) = 0$ clearly. For y > 0:

$$f_Y(y) = \int_0^y e^{-y} dx = y e^{-y}.$$

2e

We set U = X, Y = X + Y and use the change of variables theorem. Note

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

has determinant one. Hence

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = f_{X,Y}(x(u,v), y(u,v)) = \begin{cases} e^{-(v-u)} & \text{if } 0 < u < v-u, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we get f_V via

$$f_V(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{U,V}(u,v) \, du = \int_{0}^{v/2} e^{-v+u} \, du = e^{-v/2} - e^{-v}.$$

(for $0 < v < \infty$.)

3a

All permutations of the a + b votes are equally likely. The number of ways to get a vote for A last is $a \cdot (a + b - 1)!$. (Choose the last vote to be one of the a votes for A and arrange the other a + b - 1 votes in a sequence arbitrarily.) Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{last ballot is vote for } A) = \frac{a \cdot (a+b-1)!}{(a+b)!} = \frac{a}{a+b}$$

3b

Base case. The statement is clearly true when $a, b \leq 1$.

Induction step. Let a, b be arbitrary nonnegative integers, and assume the statement is true for all a', b' with $a' \leq a, b' \leq b, (a, b) \neq (a', b')$. If a = 0 or b = 0 then clearly the formula holds, so we may assume $a, b \geq 1$. Also, if $a \leq b$ then it is clearly impossible for A to be always ahead. So then the probability is zero and hence the formula is correct. We can assume $a > b \geq 1$ from now on.

We condition on the *last* vote. Let $E = \{\text{last vote is for } A\}$. Note that the probability that A was ahead at all times *given that* E *occurred* is the same as the probability that A is always ahead in a situation with a - 1 votes for A and b votes for B. Hence by the induction hypothesis

$$\mathbb{P}(A \text{ always ahead}|E) = \frac{a-b-1}{a+b-1}.$$

Similarly, the probability that A was ahead at all times given that E did not occur is the same as the probability that A is always ahead in the situation with a votes for A and b-1 votes for B. So, again using the induction hypothesis:

$$\mathbb{P}(A \text{ always ahead}|E^c) = \frac{a-b+1}{a+b-1}.$$

Using 3a, it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(A \text{ always ahead}) = \mathbb{P}(E)\mathbb{P}(A \text{ always ahead}|E) + \mathbb{P}(E^c)\mathbb{P}(A \text{ always ahead}|E^c) \\ = \frac{a}{a+b} \cdot \frac{a-b-1}{a+b-1} + \frac{b}{a+b} \cdot \frac{a-b+1}{a+b-1} = \frac{a^2-ab-a+ab-b^2+b}{(a+b)(a+b-1)} \\ = \frac{(a-b)(a+b-1)}{(a+b)(a+b-1)} = \frac{a-b}{a+b}.$$